

We will issue on Wednesday, July 10th, another EXTRA COURIER containing a large volume of valuable and interesting historical documents. It will be found a full and correct copy of the Constitution adopted by the Confederate States of America, for which there has been such frequent inquiry. Gen. CHARLES MOREHEAD'S law suit speech, delivered in this city June 14th, and other important articles used for general circulation.

The extra will be sent to clubs and persons ordering at \$1.50 per 100 copies or \$50 per 1,000. Parties will please give explicit directions as to how it should be forwarded. It ordered by mail, one cent for each copy must be sent to prepay the postage.

## SPECIAL NOTICE.

To our Subscribers, Correspondents, and Friends in the Southern Confederacy:

We have just sent our friends, correspondents, and friends in the Confederate States to do everything to us to—

LOUISVILLE COURIER,

Nashville, Tenn."

The Courier at Nashville, Messrs. Green & Co., are the sole and exclusive agents of the Courier at Nashville. They will deliver to regular subscribers and supply it to dealers and newsboys.

## TENNESSEE MONEY.

The sum of Tennessee money is now so large that we can't print it out, which we can't do. We have, therefore, to request our friends and patrons to send us, hereafter, only such money as will be sent at par home. All deposited money received hereafter will be taken at 100% worth and by our brokers, dawt!

Mr. G. DAWSON and R. T. JACOB, Counselors at Law in Oldham County, will address their fellow citizens at the following towns and places:

Bowling Green, July 10.  
Denton, July 11.  
Lancaster, July 12.  
Cynthiana, July 20.  
Paducah, July 21.  
Frankfort, July 22.

Meeting to commence at one o'clock.

## PUBLIC MEETING.

An organization of Jefferson county farmers, at the Western Rights and opposed to the railroad, and wished for the Lincoln Administration, will meet at Concert Hall on Fifth street, between Jefferson and Market, on Saturday, the 13th inst., at 10 o'clock A. M., to nominate a candidate to represent Jefferson county in the lower house of the next Legislature.

Banners of a Battle—Defeat of the Federals—No News of Washington—A Significant Fact—Valley of the Ohio—Captured by the armed State—More Federal Troops demanded.

1500 Men to the Cincinnati Gazette—

WASHINGTON, July 7.—Yester evening visited the Ohio regiment in camp with Cox, to-day, information came that an engross was issued by the military, to be sent to Washington, and it had not been for the orders that the war had been roughly dealt with. It was general all the ground by the commandant, but the orders, however, had been issued. The feeling is very decided in that, and moderate people apprehend a Vicksburg impasse.

For the failure of a battle at Martinsburg, the rest of Patterson, and a collision at Fairmont, have prevailed to-day, but in the course of the War Department is to be sent, and the latter turned out to be not me. Troops, however, have been despatched to Martinsburg to-day for reinforcing Patterson's army.

Several regiments have also been sent across the river during the day. To-morrow all the regiments across the river will be brigaded, and the last at sp. prepared to advance.

The War Cry—BEAUTY AND BOOTY INScribed ON THEIR BANNERS—We have learned from our readers that the war cry of the Northern Vandals, the wounding of legions of a free people, was "Beauty and Booty," the same used by the British when invading up New Orleans. The Southern soldiers, however, the apostles for the Lincoln invaders, have been swift to do the fact, and have even gone so far as to cry out a Southern war cry for the destruction of the South. Now we have been despatched that such was the war cry, and another independent war we have, and that is, let a regiments paraded the streets of New York, with the indented war cry inscribed on their banners. C. L. of Louisville says the banner, and possibly read the motto—BEAUTY AND BOOTY. This was the banner they marched under, and its appearance on the streets of New York was rapturously received and welcomed with the loud shouts of the multitude.

A BOMB SQUAD FIRED WITH RICOCHET.—Among the projectiles fired at the Federal troops at the battle of Great Bethel, was a large shell of new pattern, which did not explode. It was sent to Troy, where the naval employees dissected it and found that it was filled with incendiary.

COMING DOWN.—The Washington correspondent of the N. Y. Post says the arrival of the Spanish will probably not be long. We will have their sentence committed to imprisonment for life. It is thought to be sufficient to hang them when found to be fit off so easily.

CONFIDENTIAL.—Mr. Mooney, formerly in the theater, has secured a right to the office in Cincinnati, in which he will be engaged by the people for the defense of the South, and "James" for 12 persons. The all.

WAR AND BLOOD OF WAR.—The day was filled with war rumors yesterday, and our city was besieged by the people from early dawn to midnight, to obtain the news. The press, however, was reassured and welcomed with the loud shouts of the multitude.

The Court Appoints Francis B. Smith and Newton Shouse Judges of the Circuit in the Boston place in time of T. C. and A. E. Taylor.

THE WAR.—A young woman recently and suddenly dancing at a hall in Birmingham, England. The Conqueror's day was a day in a month that she died of a heart attack, and in a great measure was laid to rest.

THE BATTLE NEAR MARTINSBURG.—The Southern papers of Saturday have the following in regard to the battle of the 7th inst. in West Virginia, details of which will follow.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE WAR.—A young woman recently and suddenly dancing at a hall in Birmingham, England. The Conqueror's day was a day in a month that she died of a heart attack, and in a great measure was laid to rest.

THE COURT.—A young woman recently and suddenly dancing at a hall in Birmingham, England. The Conqueror's day was a day in a month that she died of a heart attack, and in a great measure was laid to rest.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—A young woman recently and suddenly dancing at a hall in Birmingham, England. The Conqueror's day was a day in a month that she died of a heart attack, and in a great measure was laid to rest.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—A young woman recently and suddenly dancing at a hall in Birmingham, England. The Conqueror's day was a day in a month that she died of a heart attack, and in a great measure was laid to rest.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to have received. At the last account he was at Laurel Hill, Va., and was soon returning his forces to Charles-

ton.

THE COURT.—The irrepressible organ of Cincinnati has dispatches of the arrival of Ex-Gov. W. H. Smith, but they do not seem to

## DAILY COURIER.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.  
Daily Courier per year, \$5.00  
Daily Courier for the country, \$3.00  
Daily Courier for any part of the U. S. \$1.00  
Daily Courier, when delivered by carrier, 15c  
Weekly Courier, one year, \$6.00  
The Courier Weekly, one year, \$5.00  
Four... " " 20c  
Ten... " " 20c  
Twenty... " " 20c  
No paper ever sent unless paid for in advance.

LOUISVILLE, KY.

TUESDAY MORNING JULY 9.  
For State Treasurer,  
GIBBONS TERRY, of Todd.

The War Forced on the South by the Administration.

Referring to the attempt to subdue us to Major Anderson, in his recent message, makes a confession so frank and so important that we desire to call the attention of our readers to it in an especial manner. He says:

On the 5th of March, the present incumbent's first full day of office, a letter of Major Anderson, commanding Fort Sumter, was sent to the War Department, and on the 6th of March, was by that department placed in his hands. This letter expressed the opinion of the administration of the fort, that the reinforcement could not be thrown into that fort within the time for his relief, rendered necessary by the limited supply of provisions, and with a view to the safety of the garrison, with a force less than twenty thousand men, and without supplies, this opinion was concurred in by all the heads of departments, and the general opinion of the subjects was made, too, by one with confidence of Major Anderson's letter. The whole was communicated and before General George G. Meade, on the 11th of March. On reflection, however, he took in time the consultation with other officers, both of the Army and Navy, and at the end of a long and laborious consultation, came to the following conclusion: He declared at the same time that such sufficient force was not at the command of the Army, and could not be raised, brought to the garrison, and not be repelled, whenever the provisions in the fort would be exhausted. In a general point of view this reduced the duty of the administration to the mere matter of taking the garrison safely out of the fort.

On the 5th of March, Major Anderson's opinion that Sumter could not be relieved by less than 20,000 men, was laid before the Cabinet, and it was considered. It was then submitted to Gen. Scott, who, after consulting with other officers, the 9th of this month informed the President that Major Anderson was undoubtedly correct in the conclusion to which he had come—that Fort Sumter could not be relieved, that the evacuation of the fort was a military necessity, that the duty of the Administration in the case was reduced "to the mere matter of taking the garrison safely out of the fort."

This is the President's confession.

Yet a month later, in the face of the conceded impossibility of relieving the fort, a formidable naval force was fitted out and sent in hostile array to Charleston Harbor, and the Governor of South Carolina was notified that provisions would be thrown into the fort, peaceably if possible, forcibly if necessary.

Why was this done? What was the object of the Administration in attempting to do what it knew was impossible? Why was a fleet of armed vessels sent to the fort? and it is its

The object is manifest. The Administration was determined on war. It had resolved to attempt the subjugation of the Seceded States. Knowing the utter inadequacy of its power, to which it was impelled by the storm of fanaticism which the anti-slavery leaders had raised in the free States and which admitted of no control, it sought to relieve itself of the responsibility of the action which it had undertaken by the annexation of which the country was to be plunged into fratricidal strife, by forcing the South to fire the first gun.

The holding of Fort Sumter was a cause of irritation. Commanding the Harbor of Charleston, its possession by the Federal forces, after South Carolina had seceded, against the wishes of the people of that State, was an act of hostility. The guns from within its walls were a personal menace to those of whose protection it was.

Does Kentucky hate the South? The hatred of the Northern people toward the South is intense, so bitter, and so strong, that it seems to be destined to endure any amount of oppression, and to have their own Government converted into a grinding military despotism, if by so doing they can only see the Southern slaveholder trampled into the dust, humiliated, and utterly degraded, as is so with Kentucky also?

Does Kentucky hate the slaveholder with such a fervid hatred as to make her the tyrant under which she now grows a very light thing if her eyes can only be blessed with the sight of his subjection and ruin?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own people should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so bitterly as to rejoice in the establishment of a military despotism on her own soil and over her own sons, if thereby the slave, John Brown, can be made to pass under the same yoke?

Does Kentucky hate the South so much as to bear cheerfully the absolute prostration of her entire material interests, if this sacrifice would tend in the smallest degree to promote the subjugation?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own people should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so much as to be willing to contribute millions of money and the blood of thousands of her sons, for the only way to break her vengeance on her Southern sisters for daring to assert their right to be free and independent States?

These are questions sadly suggested by the apparent attitude of Kentucky at the present time. We appear to have no cause because we cannot believe it real. It must be some hideous illusion. There are doubtless Abolitionists and Yankees in Kentucky who would gladly answer anything themselves if they could only see the South crushed and subjugated. They do not, however, have any enemies in which they can be satisfied with the sight of his subjection and ruin?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?

Does Kentucky hate the South so deeply that she is willing that her own sons should starve and their children cry in vain for bread? If by so doing, she can contribute ever so little toward starving her Southern sisters into submission to the fierce fanatical rule of the Northern power?



